

PRICE DANIEL ATTORNEY GENERAL

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

OF TEXAS

AUSTIN 11, TEXAS

February 14, 1947

Honorable Charles T. Banister County Attorney Navarro County Corsicana, Texas Opinion

Opinion No. V-14

Re: Authority of county tax assessor-collector, or deputies, to retain notary fees for affidavits executed under Section 33 of the Certificate of Title Act. and related matters.

Dear Mr. Banister:

Your recent request for an opinion reads as

follows:

Judge of Navarro County to obtain an opinion from you as to whether or not the County Tax Assessor & Collector of this County
and/or his employees can legally retain for
themselves (individually, the person taking
the acknowledgment retaining all of the 25¢
fee) a notary fee of 25¢ charged each applicant for a certificate of title or re-issuance thereof in addition to the 50¢ provided
in Article 1456-1, Sec. 57, Texas Penal Code,
in view of Art. 976c, Texas Penal Code.

"The County Judge raises the same question as to a fee of \$15.00 charged candidates in election years by the Tax Assessor & Collector and/or his employees for poll lists that have been compiled by the collector and his employees at times other than during business hours.

"The question is on both of the above situations whether such 25¢ notary fee and \$15.00 charge for poll lists, if legally chargeable, should be turned over to the County as fees of office, in view of Art. 9780, Penal Code." You ask in your request if such fees may be retained in view of Article 978c, Penal Code (House Bill No. 80). In an opinion rendered on November 9, 1945, numbered 0-6908, this department ruled that the provisions of House Bill No. 80, Acts 49th legislature, 1945 (Article 978e, Penal Code) were unconstitutional. Therefore, your question must be answered within the meaning of Article 7246a, Vernon's Annotated Civil Statutes, and Section 33 of Article 1436-1, Penal Code.

Article 7246a, Vernon's Annotated Civil Statutes, provides in substance as follows:

"Sec. 1. The Assessor and Collector of Taxes, Sheriff or Sheriff and Assessor and Collecter of Taxes, are hereby authorized and empowered to administer all caths hecessary for the discharge of the duties of their respective offices and to administer all oaths required for the transaction of the business of their respective offices, provided that is cousties containing a population of five hundred thousand (500,000) or more inhabitants according to the last preceding or any future Federal Census, such Assessors and Collectors of Taxes and their Deputies are expressly authorized to administer outhe or effidevits as to the facts concerning the use of any real property which may be claimed to be exampt in whole or in part from State terration, as constituting a homestead under the Constitution of the State of Texas, and any cath or affidavit covering any bill of sale and application for transfer of a motor vehicle or trailer, or application for a certificate of title concerning any motor vehicle, or affidevit and application to register a rebuilt motor vehicle, or metice of the in-stallation of a new or different motor in any motor vehicle, or affidavit concerning the weight of any motor vehicle, or affidewit with reference to the application for the registration of any light delivery truck, moter was, semitralier, or trailer, or application for the replacement of number plates, or estimated as to the weight and application for the registration of a conmercial farm truck, or affidavit and appli-

eation for the reregistration of a motor vehicle that has not been used for the current registration year, when any such rendition, inventory, application, or instrument above mentioned is required to be filed in the office of such Assessor and Collector of Taxes, and any other instrument that may be filed in said office. or that may relate to the business or duties of said office whenever the same is or may be required by law to be sworn to. provided that such Assessor and Collector of Taxes shall charge and collect as a fee of office, in addition to any other fees that he may now be authorized by law to charge, in connection with such instruments, the sum of Twenty-five (25) Cents for each such oath or affidavit as he, or his Deputies, may administer, which shall be and constitute a fee of office, and for which he shall account as he is now or may hereafter be required by law to account for any other fee of office, such Assessors and Collectors of Taxes, and their Deputies, being hereby given the same right to administer all such oaths or affidavits as are notaries public under the laws of the State of Texas; provided no fee shall be charged for any oath or affidavit connected with the rendition of any property for taxation."

Section 33 of Article 1436-1, Penal Code, provides as follows:

"No motor vehicle may be disposed of at subsequent sale unless the owner designated in the certificate of title shall transfer the certificate of title on form to be prescribed by the Department before a Notary Public, which form shall include, among such other matters as the Department may determine, an affidavit to the effect that the signer is the owner of the motor vehicle, and that there are no liens against such motor vehicle, except such as are shown on the certificate of title and me title to any motor vehicle shall pass or vest until such transfer be so executed."

An examination of the above authorities reveals that the affidavit required under the provisions of Section 33, of the Certificate of Title Act, must be executed by a notary public and may not be executed by the tar assessor and collector in his official capacity. Moreover, those services contemplated by Article 7246a are official duties authorized by law, and if a fee is authorized and collected in the official capacity of a tax assessor-sollector, it must be secounted for as a fee of office. (Harris County vs. Hall, 172 S. W. (2) 691). However, in those countles having a population of less than five hundred thousand inhabitants, we do not find any statutory provision authorizing the tax assessor-collector, or his deputies, to charge any fee for taking and certifying affidavite he is authorized to administer under Article 7848a. In view of the foregoing authorities, it will be seen that a actary executing an affidevit under Section 55 of the Certificate of Title Act does so in his individual capacity, but those services relating to caths rendered under Article 7246a are rendered as official duties of the office. Inasmuch as your county has a population of less than five hundred thousand inhabitants, no fee would be authorized for those services performed pursuant to Article 7246a, relating to the administration of oaths. Generally, unless a fee is prescribed by statute for official services, none may be charged.

In the case of Moore vs. Sheppard, 192 S. W. . (2) 559, the Supreme Court stated:

Appeals are not entitled to receive extra compensation for services performed within the scope of their official duties prescribed by law. The general principle prohibiting public officials from charging fees for the performance of their official duties does not prohibit them from charging ing for their services or acts that they are under no obligation, under the law, to perform

"There being no statutory duty requiring petitioner to furnish undertified, unofficial copies of opinions of the Courts of Civil Appeals, no statute fixing any fee for such services, and no valid statute requiring that money received therefor be de-

posited in the State treasury, there is no debt owing by petitioner to the state."

Therefore, in the absence of statutory authority prohibiting the same, it is the opinion of this department that a tax assessor-collector, or deputy, acting in the capacity of a notary public, would be entitled to the notary fee for notarizing an affidavit under Section 33 of the Certificate of Title Act, provided such service rendered as a notary public does not interfere with the discharge of those duties imposed upon him by law.

You ask the further question as to whether a fee of \$15.00 charged candidates in election years for the preparation of a poll tax list, may be retained by the tax assessor-collector, or deputy, if prepared during off-duty hours. We know of no statutory provision making it an official function of the tax-assessorcollector, or deputy, to prepare such a poll tax list for private distribution. As stated in the case of Moore vs. Sheppard, supra, "the general principle prohibiting public officials from charging fees for the performance of their official duties, does not prohibit them from charging for their services for acts that they are under no obligation, under the law, to perform." Therefore, in the absence of a statutory prohibition, the tax assessor-collector of your county may retain the fee for the preparation of a poll tax list, compiled in off-duty hours, if the same does not interfere with the discharge of those duties imposed upon him by law. There is no authority to the effect that such fee is a fee of office for which the tax assessor-collector would be accountable. The practicability of this procedure is, more or less, determined by each individual tax assessor-collector, and, if practiced, the fee for the preparation of such list may be retained.

We are enclosing a copy of Opinion No.0-6908 for your information.

SUMMARY

A tax assessor-collector, or deputy, acting in his individual capacity as a notary public, may retain the notary fee for affidavits executed under Section 35, Certificate of Title Act; and, in the absence of a statutery prohibition, may retain the

fee charged for the preparation of a poll tax list, compiled in off-duty hours, if the same does not interfere with the discharge of these duties in-pessed upon him by iaw. (Harris County vs. Hall, 192 S. W. (2) 691; Moore vs. Section 192 S. W. (2) 559; Section 35, Article 1436-1, Penal Code.)

Very truly yours,

ATTORIES GENERAL OF TEXAS

By Burnell Waldrep Assistant

BW: WB

APPROVED COMMITTEE

BY: EWB Chairman APPROPRIO PREMIANT 14, 1947

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS